

TOP 10 TRUTHS ABOUT ORGANIC

Organic food reduces the amount of pesticides in your body

Along with our study, research shows that switching to an organic diet rapidly and dramatically reduces your exposure to toxic pesticides linked to adverse health impacts, from autism to infertility to cancer.^{1,2,3,4} Organic farmers grow abundant food without the use of an estimated 17,000 pesticide products allowed in chemical farming.⁵ Instead, organic farmers use ecological methods like planting cover crops and rotating crops in fields to build soil health and manage pests and weeds so they don't need to depend on toxic chemicals in the first place.⁶ Research also shows that an organic diet can help protect your health. One study published in the Journal of the American Medical Association found that those who ate the most organic food lowered their overall risk of developing cancer by 25 percent.⁷ Another study found fertility benefits for women who ate more organic food.⁸

Organic farming protects people on the frontlines of pesticide exposure

While the pesticide industry spins organic food as elitist, the reality is that the most vocal advocates for a toxic-free food system are those on the frontlines of pesticide exposure: farmers, farmworkers and pesticide applicators who are exposed to toxic pesticides directly; rural communities whose children live and go to school near farms where toxic pesticides are sprayed; and low-income communities in the shadow of chemical manufacturing plants. Farmworkers can be exposed at levels hundreds of times higher than consumers' exposure to pesticides. Farmers, farmworkers and their families have higher rates of acute poisonings, cancers, birth defects, asthma, infertility, autism and other neurological effects.⁹ Organic farming reduces farmer, farmworker and rural community exposure to toxic synthetic pesticides.¹⁰ No one should have to sacrifice their health and their children's health to grow the food we all eat. An organic food system free of toxic pesticides is a human right.

Organic food is non-GMO, antibiotic-free and more

Organic standards prohibit the use of GMOs (genetically modified organisms), synthetic fertilizers, irradiation and sewage sludge (treated toilet waste, which is allowed in non-organic farming as a fertilizer). When it comes to dairy and meat, organic producers don't use antibiotics, growth hormones or arsenic-based drugs, whereas over 450 drugs are allowed in non-organic production.

The organic label is trustworthy

The organic seal is backed by a robust set of criteria governed by federal law under the National Organic Program at the United States Department of Agriculture. All organic farmers are inspected by an independent third-party certifier each year.¹¹ Organic farming supports a food system that values environmental stewardship, public health and honest labeling.

Organic shoppers are diverse

The latest data shows that the demographics of organic buyers matches the diversity of the American population.¹² Over 80 percent of U.S. households report buying organic at least sometimes, and a growing number of black and Hispanic families are choosing organic.¹³ Organic remains the fastest-growing sector of the food industry; its growth far outpaces the overall food market.^{14,15} Millennials are devouring organic at record rates and are expected to purchase even more as they become parents.¹⁶

Organic farming is a climate solution

Experts agree that a massive transition to organic practices will be a key part of the climate solution. Organic farms use less energy and emit fewer greenhouse gas emissions, in large

part because they are not dependent on petroleum-based chemicals or synthetic fertilizers, which are extremely energy-intensive to produce.^{17,18} Organic farms also help pull carbon dioxide from the atmosphere into the soils (a process known as carbon sequestration), a critical climate change mitigation strategy.^{19,20,21,22} Organic farming has also been shown to yield more in times of weather extremes like drought and floods. It also conserves water resources, which means organic farmers are more resilient to the impacts of climate change.^{23,24}

Organic farming is good for the birds and bees

Organic farmers foster biodiversity both above ground and in the soil beneath our feet.²⁵ Organic farms help protect pollinators like bees and butterflies, essential to one in three bites of food we eat.²⁶ They support up to 50 percent more pollinating species than pesticide-intensive farms and they help other beneficial insects flourish.²⁷ Below ground, just one teaspoon of compost-rich organic soil can host as many as one *billion* helpful bacteria from 15,000 species. On the flip side, one teaspoon of soil treated with synthetic pesticides and fertilizers may have as few as 100 helpful bacteria – that’s 10 million times less.²⁸ Organic farming also protects clean water. While chemical-intensive agriculture leads to poisoned rivers, algae blooms and oceanic dead zones, studies show that organic farming can protect waterways from agricultural runoff and its harmful effects.^{29,30}

Organic farming can feed a growing world population

Science shows that organic farming can produce enough food to feed a growing world population while protecting the natural resources we need to grow food for generations to come.³¹ What’s more, research consistently shows that hunger is not a problem of an insufficient supply of food, but results from poverty, lack of democracy and unequal access to land, water and other resources.^{32,33} The United Nations summarizes the latest science by asserting that pesticides have “catastrophic impacts on the environment, human health and society as a whole” in a report that debunks the myth that pesticides are necessary to feed a growing world population.³⁴ Scientists agree that we need a rapid transition to organic and ecological farming in order to protect the ecosystems we depend on to grow food, now and into the future.³⁵

Organic farming is an economic opportunity for farmers and rural America

Data shows that organic farming is more profitable for farmers and provides greater economic stability and well-being.³⁶ Organic farms also create more jobs than their conventional counterparts.³⁷ Organic can be an important solution to rural poverty. Research shows that counties with high levels of organic farming and associated organic businesses like processors and retailers are economic hotspots that boost household incomes by over \$2,000 and reduce poverty rates by as much as 1.35 percent – even more than major anti-poverty programs.³⁸

U.S. farmers need more support to transition to organic

Our government subsidizes chemical-intensive industrial agriculture to the tune of billions of dollars a year, while organic programs and research are woefully underfunded.³⁹ As one example, less than one percent of federal agricultural research dollars go toward organic or other sustainable farming approaches.⁴⁰ As a result, American farmers are losing out. Consumer demand for organic food is growing by double digits each year, but U.S. production is not keeping pace.^{41,42} The gap between U.S. demand and production is increasingly being filled by imports of crops that could be grown in the U.S.⁴³ We are the largest producer of soybeans in the world, but in 2016, we imported \$250 million worth of organic soybeans and produced only \$78.5 million worth.⁴⁴ In the same year, \$410 million worth of organic grain that could have been grown in the U.S. was imported.⁴⁵ The U.S. accounts for 44 percent of the global organic consumer market but just five percent of global farmland under organic

production.⁴⁶ Data shows that more U.S. farmers are interested in transitioning to organic production, but they will need policies and market opportunities to help them do so.⁴⁷

TOP 10 TRUTHS ABOUT PESTICIDES

The human cost of pesticides is devastating

Pesticides are poisons. The properties that make pesticides toxic to insects and weeds can also make them toxic to other forms of life, including humans. More than 90 percent of Americans have detectable pesticides in their bodies.⁴⁸ Decades of data clearly shows that pesticides can disrupt and derail the healthy functioning of our bodies.⁴⁹ Pesticides are linked to cancers, asthma, neurodevelopmental disorders like ADHD and learning disabilities and to adult neurological diseases like Alzheimer's and Parkinson's.^{50,51,52,53} Pesticide exposure is also associated with reproductive disorders like infertility and other disorders related to the endocrine system like diabetes and obesity.^{54,55} The list goes on. Farmers, farmworkers and rural communities are on the frontlines of exposure to pesticides. No one should have to sacrifice their health and their children's health to grow the food we all eat. An organic food system free of toxic pesticides is a human right.

The environmental cost of pesticides is catastrophic

Chemical agriculture is destroying the ecosystems that sustain all life. Pesticides are a key culprit in the decline of bees, butterflies and other pollinators – leading some scientists to warn of a “second silent spring.”^{56,57} Pesticides wreak havoc on the soil by killing the organisms that are the basis of soil life.⁵⁸ And they pollute rivers, lakes and oceans, leading to fish die-offs. Pesticides are the cornerstone of an industrial agriculture system that consumes fossil fuel, water and topsoil at unsustainable rates.⁵⁹ The United Nations estimates that industrial agriculture costs the world \$3 trillion annually in environmental damage.⁶⁰ Eliminating dangerous chemicals and polluting practices from our food system is key to protecting vital natural resources like clean water and soil, healthy oceans and the biodiversity that is essential for producing food now and in the future.

Exposures to small amounts of pesticides matter

The chemical industry claims that the pesticide residues in our food, air and water are too small to affect us. But new research shows that very small exposures do matter. Consider the fact that chemicals prescribed by doctors to alter behavior, like the drug Ritalin, are active at levels that are the same or lower than some pesticides detected in children's bodies.⁶¹ We now know that small amounts of pesticides can act like drugs and alter our brain development, hormones, immune systems and more. Chemicals that affect our hormone systems, called endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs), can be especially problematic at very low doses. EDCs may scramble, block or mimic the cellular mechanisms responsible for developing and managing the body's reproductive, neurological, metabolic or immunological systems. Endocrine disruptors are associated with hormone-influenced cancers such as thyroid, breast and prostate, as well as learning disabilities, brain development problems, birth defects, obesity, diabetes and reproductive disorders. Effects related to an EDC exposure can occur from a very small amount of a chemical, sometimes as low as a tenth of a trillion of a gram. That's as tiny as one second in 3,169 centuries. These low-dose effects can be different from the effects of higher doses. Over 50 pesticides are associated with endocrine disruption.⁶²

Fetal development and infancy are periods of particular vulnerability

Timing of chemical exposure can be critically important, especially for chemicals that disrupt our endocrine systems. Fetal development and infancy are periods of particular susceptibility, as this is the time when young bodies are busy growing and cells are multiplying and

differentiating. The health effects of pesticide exposure during these stages can result in lifelong impacts, including ADHD, learning disabilities, autoimmune disorder and cancers later in life. Research has found over 20 pesticides in infant cord blood,⁶³ and nearly all children in the United States are exposed to pesticides through the foods they eat.⁶⁴ Children eat and drink more per pound of their body weight than adults, and they consume more foods that have higher amounts of pesticide residues like fruits, fruit juices and milk, so they are more exposed to pesticides. The American Academy of Pediatrics asserts that “children’s exposure to pesticides should be limited as much as possible.”⁶⁵ Other times of vulnerability include puberty, pregnancy and menopause/andropause – all periods of time when the body is undergoing rapid changes.⁶⁶

Legal limits for residues on food do not adequately protect those most vulnerable

While the Environmental Protection Agency sets legal limits, referred to as “tolerances,” for pesticide residues on food, many scientists and medical professionals say that these limits are outdated and may not be set low enough to protect people who are the most vulnerable, including infants and children. Scientific data also shows health problems resulting from exposure to pesticides at levels below these legal limits.⁶⁷ Safety standards for pesticides are designed to apply to the general public, but scientists agree that everyone’s body, basic health status and genetic inheritances are different, and each individual may react differently to a toxic chemical exposure.

Regulations fail to account for the toxic cocktail of pesticides we’re exposed to

Safety standards are set pesticide-by-pesticide. But we are never exposed to just one pesticide at a time. Consider strawberries: the USDA found that nearly one-third of chemically-grown strawberries had residues from 10 or more different pesticides.⁶⁸ And the average American has traces of at least 29 different pesticides in their body.⁶⁹ Regulations don’t take into account that each individual is exposed to hundreds of different pesticides and other toxic chemicals through diet, inhalation of air and absorption through the skin, creating a mixture of chemicals present in the body. Even if the level of each chemical exposure is below a safety standard, mixtures of small amounts of chemicals can have an additive “punch” in total toxicity.⁷⁰ This total toxicity can be greater than the sum of each toxic factor of each chemical. Scientists are just beginning to understand what mixtures of pesticides we may carry in our bodies and how these mixtures may act synergistically.⁷¹ One study found that approximately 40 percent of children may be exposed to a cumulative amount of nerve agent pesticides called organophosphates at levels beyond those associated with neurological harm like ADHD and learning disabilities.⁷²

The U.S. allows pesticides that have been banned or restricted in other countries

American farmers use over one billion pounds of pesticides annually, including pesticides that have been restricted or banned in other countries because they are known to be toxic to people, pollinators and other living things. These include the hormone-disrupting weed-killer atrazine, the known brain-damaging insecticide chlorpyrifos and the class of chemicals known as neonicotinoids, which have been connected to massive pollinator losses and bee die-offs. The European Union has banned or restricted 246 pesticides, many of which are widely used in the United States. There are an estimated 17,000 pesticide products approved for the market in the U.S.⁷³

There are more pesticides on our food now

The latest data from the U.S. Department of Agriculture found pesticide residues on approximately 85 percent of the thousands of fruit and vegetable samples they tested. That is an increase from 59 percent in 2014.⁷⁴ Before testing, USDA scientists wash and prepare the samples as you would at home, showing that many foods still have pesticide residues even after being washed.

GMOs dramatically increase the use of hazardous pesticides

When you hear “GMOs,” think “pesticides.” Despite the many traits a scientist might engineer into a plant, just over 98 percent of all GMO crops grown in the U.S. are engineered to resist pesticides, primarily glyphosate (Monsanto’s RoundUp).⁷⁵ The vast majority of GMOs planted globally are also those with pesticide-tolerant traits. That’s no coincidence: the companies that sell GMO seeds also sell pesticides, making GMOs a highly profitable business model. These companies earn revenue on the GMO seed sales and on the chemical sales that go hand-in-hand with those seeds. It’s a business model that leads to billions in profit for corporations like Bayer-Monsanto and DowDuPont at the expense of our health and the environment. Since Monsanto’s GMO RoundUp Ready© crops were introduced in the 1990s, glyphosate use has increased over 600 percent and is now the most heavily-used agricultural chemical in the history of the world.^{76,77} Glyphosate is linked to cancer according to the most reputable international cancer research body in the world, the World Health Organization’s International Agency for Research on Cancer and is listed in California as a known carcinogen.^{78,79}

We need to get off the pesticide treadmill

Since the widespread introduction of pesticides to agriculture in the 1950s, experts predicted that insects and weeds would develop resistance.⁸⁰ Worldwide, approximately 368 weed varieties and 540 insect species have developed resistance to pesticides.⁸¹ This has created a “pesticide treadmill” in which farmers spray more often and use more toxic pesticides to deal with resistant pests. Ninety percent of Iowa farmers reported feeling that “pest management is a never-ending technology treadmill” in a 2014 poll.⁸² Despite the increasing use of pesticides, farmers are losing more of their crops to pests today than they did in the 1940s.⁸³

“Superweeds” now plague more than 60 million acres of U.S. farmland.⁸⁴ These weeds are resistant to glyphosate, the key ingredient in Monsanto’s RoundUp herbicide used with RoundUp Ready© GMO corn, soy, canola and cotton. In response, the pesticide industry is doubling down on this failed approach. The latest GMO seeds are engineered to resist two weedkillers with resistance to as many as five planned. Monsanto (now owned by Bayer) invested nearly \$1 billion in a factory to revive production of dicamba, an herbicide developed in the 1940s that has been linked to increased risk of a non-Hodgkin’s Lymphoma, reproductive problems and genetic damage.^{85,86} When Monsanto started selling dicamba-resistant GMO seeds in 2017, there was massive farmer outcry across the country because farmers who chose not to use dicamba-resistant seeds suffered damage to their crops due to pesticides drifting over their fields. Over 3.6 million acres of crops across 25 states were damaged.⁸⁷

Pesticide-intensive agriculture is a losing battle. Especially when research shows that *reducing* pesticide use can increase crop yields.^{88,89} Farmers who transition to organic agriculture get off the pesticide treadmill altogether. Organic farmers work with nature to disrupt pest cycles by using crop rotations, fostering natural predators of pests, increasing crop diversity and planting “trap” crops that draw insects to the edges of fields.

¹ Lu, C., et al., Organic diets significantly lower children’s dietary exposure to organophosphorus pesticides. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 2006. 114(2): p. 260.

² Bradman, A., et al., Effect of organic diet intervention on pesticide exposures in young children living in low-income urban and agricultural communities. *Environ Health Perspectives*, 2015.

³ Curl, C.L., R.A. Fenske, and K. Elgethun, Organophosphorus pesticide exposure of urban and suburban preschool children with organic and conventional diets. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 2003. 111(3): p. 377.

⁴ Oates, L. and M. Cohen, Assessing diet as a modifiable risk factor for pesticide exposure. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 2011. 8(6): p. 1792-1804.

⁵ Pesticide Action Network. Pesticides 101. Online. <http://www.panna.org/pesticides-big-picture/pesticides-101>

⁷ Baudry, J., Assmann, K.E., Touvier, M., Allès, B., Seconda, L., Latino-Martel, P., Ezzedine, K., Galan, P., Hercberg, S., Lairon, D. and Kesse-Guyot, E., 2018. Association of frequency of organic food consumption with cancer risk: findings from the NutriNet-Santé prospective cohort study. *JAMA internal medicine*.

⁸ Chiu, Y.H., et al., 2018. Association between pesticide residue intake from consumption of fruits and vegetables and pregnancy outcomes among women undergoing infertility treatment with assisted reproductive technology. *JAMA internal medicine*, 178(1), pp.17-26.

⁹ Farmworker Justice. 2013. Exposed and Ignored: How pesticides are endangering our nation's farmworkers. Washington DC. Online. <https://www.farmworkerjustice.org/sites/default/files/aExposed%20and%20Ignored%20by%20Farmworker%20Justice%20singles%20compressed.pdf>

¹⁰ Misiewicz, Tracy and Jessica Shade. 2018. *Organic Agriculture: Reducing occupational pesticide exposure in farmers and farmworkers*. The Organic Center. September. <https://www.organic-center.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Reducing-Occupational-Pesticide-Exposure.pdf>

¹¹ McEvory, Miles. 2014. Organic 101: Ensuring Organic Integrity Through Inspections. February 26. <https://www.usda.gov/media/blog/2014/02/26/organic-101-ensuring-organic-integrity-through-inspections>

¹² Organic Trade Association. 2015. Organic looks like America, new survey shows. <https://ota.com/news/press-releases/17972>

¹³ Organic Trade Association. "U.S. Families' Organic Attitudes and Beliefs Study." Organic Trade Association. Organic Trade Association. 2013. <https://www.ota.com/news/press-releases/17124>

¹⁴ Organic Trade Association. 2017. Organic Industry Survey. <https://ota.com/resources/organic-industry-survey>

¹⁵ Greene, Catherine. "Consumer Demand Bolstering Organic Production and Markets in the U.S." Web blog post. USDA Blog. United States Department of Agriculture. 16 February 2016. <http://blogs.usda.gov/2016/02/16/consumer-demand-bolstering-organic-production-and-markets-in-the-u-s/>

¹⁶ Organic Trade Association. 2017. Today's Millennial: Tomorrow's Organic Parent. <https://www.ota.com/news/press-releases/19828>

¹⁷ Ziesemer, Jodi. 2007. *Energy Use in Organic Food Systems*. UN Food and Agriculture Organization. Rome. <http://www.fbae.org/2009/FBAE/website/images/pdf/important-publication/fao-organic-report.pdf>

¹⁸ Grace Communications Foundation. *Energy in Agriculture*. <http://www.gracelinks.org/118/energy-and-agriculture>

- ¹⁹ Gattinger, Andreas *et al.* 2012. Enhanced top soil carbon stocks under organic farming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 109(44), 18226-18231.
- ²⁰ Ghabbour, E.A. *et al.*, 2017. National Comparison of the Total and Sequestered Organic Matter Contents of Conventional and Organic Farm Soils. *Advances in Agronomy*. 146[1-35].
- ²¹ Grace Communications Foundation. *Energy in Agriculture*. <http://www.gracelinks.org/118/energy-and-agriculture>
- ²² Niles, M. (2008). Sustainable soils: reducing, mitigating, and adapting to climate change with organic agriculture. *Sustainable Dev. L. & Pol'y*, 9, 19.
- ²³ Lotter, D. W., Seidel, R., & Liebhardt, W. (2003). The performance of organic and conventional cropping systems in an extreme climate year. *American Journal of Alternative Agriculture*, 18(3), 146-154.
- ²⁴ Borron, S. (2006). Building resilience for an unpredictable future: how organic agriculture can help farmers adapt to climate change. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- ²⁵ Bartram, H., & Perkins, A. (2003). The biodiversity benefits of organic farming. *Organic Agriculture: Sustainability, Markets and Policies*, 77.
- ²⁶ The Organic Center. 2010. The Biodiversity Benefits of Organic Farming. December. http://www.organicresearchcentre.com/manage/authincludes/article_uploads/ORC%20Biodiversity%20benefits%20of%20organic%20farming%20v4.pdf
- ²⁷ University of Oxford. "Organic farms support more species. 2014. University of Oxford. University of Oxford. 4 February. Web. <http://www.ox.ac.uk/news/2014-02-04-organic-farms-support-more-species>
- ²⁸ Ingham, Elaine. Online. Soil Foodweb Inc. <http://www.soilfoodweb.com/Contact.html>
- ²⁹ Cambardella, C., K. Delate and D. Jaynes. 2015. Water quality in organic systems. *Sustainable Agriculture Research*. Vol 4(3). <http://www.ccsenet.org/journal/index.php/sar/article/view/50106>
- ³⁰ Reganold, J. P., & Wachter, J. M. (2016). Organic agriculture in the twenty-first century. *Nature Plants*, 2(2), 15221.
- ³¹ Cook, Christopher, Kari Hamerschlag and Kendra Klein. 2016. *Farming for the Future: Organic and Agroecological Solutions to Feed the World*. Friends of the Earth: Washington DC. <https://foe.org/resources/farming-for-the-future-organic-and-agroecological-solutions-to-feed-the-world>
- ³² Lappe, Francis Moore and Joseph Collins. (2015). *World Hunger: Ten Myths*. New York: Grove Press.
- ³³ UNEP *et al.* 2009. *Agriculture at a Crossroads: International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge, Science and Technology for Development*. Island Press: Washington, D.C.
- ³⁴ Carrington, Danian. 2017. UN experts denounce 'myth' pesticides are necessary to feed the world. *The Guardian*. March 7. <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2017/mar/07/un-experts-denounce-myth-pesticides-are-necessary-to-feed-the-world>
- ³⁵ UNDP, WHO, *et al.* 2009. *Agriculture at a Crossroads: International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge, Science, and Technology for Development*. Washington DC: Island Press. http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/est/Investment/Agriculture_at_a_Crossroads_Global_Report_IAASTD.pdf

- ³⁶ Reganold, J. P., & Wachter, J. M. (2016). Organic agriculture in the twenty-first century. *Nature Plants*.
- ³⁷ Finley, L., Chappell, M.J., Thiers, P. and Moore, J.R., 2018. Does organic farming present greater opportunities for employment and community development than conventional farming? A survey-based investigation in California and Washington. *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems*, 42(5), pp. 552-572.
- ³⁸ Marasteanu, I. J., & Jaenicke, E. C. 2016. The role of US organic certifiers in organic hotspot formation. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, 31(3):230-245.
- ³⁹ Hamerschlag, Kari. 2013. Fairness for Small Farmers: A Missing Ingredient in the Farm Bill. Fair World Project. March 6. Online <https://fairworldproject.org/fairness-for-small-farmers-a-missing-ingredient-in-the-u-s-farm-bill/>
- ⁴⁰ DeLonge, M.S., Miles, A. and Carlisle, L., 2016. Investing in the transition to sustainable agriculture. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 55, pp.266-273.
- ⁴¹ National Organic Coalition. 2016. Expanding Organic Production in the United States: Challenges and Policy Recommendations. November. <http://www.nationalorganiccoalition.org/LiteratureRetrieve.aspx?ID=135516>
- ⁴² Cernansky, Rachel. 2018. We Don't Have Enough Organic Farms: Why Not? *National Geographic*. November 20th. Online. <https://www.nationalgeographic.com/environment/future-of-food/organic-farming-crops-consumers/>
- ⁴³ O'Neil, Colin. 2017. Expanding Opportunities for U.S. Farmers by Supporting Organization Transition. Prepared for Environmental Working Group. March 16. <http://www.ewg.org/research/growing-organic-expanding-opportunities-us-farmers-investing-organic-transition#.WeT-dVtSy5s>
- ⁴⁴ USDA. 2017. Certified Organic Survey: 2016 Summary. U.S. Department of Agriculture: Washington DC. September. http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/usda/current/OrganicProduction/OrganicProduction-09-20-2017_correction.pdf
- ⁴⁵ Reidy, Susan. 2017. U.S. Organic feed industry dangerously dependent on imports. *World Grain News*. November 8. http://www.world-grain.com/articles/news_home/World_Grain_News/2017/11/US_organic_feed_industry_dange.aspx?ID=%7BB3E0E906-81B1-4573-97CD-4A3EF9653DCD%7D&cck=1
- ⁴⁶ International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements. "Global Organic Market at \$72 Billion with 43 Million Hectares of Organic Agriculture Worldwide." *IFOAM*. IFOAM. 2 May, 2015. Web. <http://www.ifoam.bio/en/news/2015/02/05/press-release-global-organic-market-72-billion-us-dollars-43-million-hectares>
- ⁴⁷ National Organic Coalition. "Expanding Organic Production in the United States: Challenges and Policy Recommendations." *National Organic Coalition*. November, 2016. Web. <http://www.nationalorganiccoalition.org/LiteratureRetrieve.aspx?ID=135516>
- ⁴⁸ CDC. 2018. National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals. Online. <https://www.cdc.gov/exposurereport/index.html>
- ⁴⁹ Gildea, R. C., Huffling, K., & Sattler, B. 2010. Pesticides and health risks. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, & Neonatal Nursing*, 39(1):103-110.
- ⁵⁰ Gildea, R. C., Huffling, K., & Sattler, B. (2010). Pesticides and health risks. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, & Neonatal Nursing*, 39(1), 103-110.
- ⁵¹ Bassil, K. L., Vakil, C., Sanborn, M., Cole, D. C., Kaur, J. S., & Kerr, K. J. (2007). Cancer health effects of pesticides: systematic review. *Canadian Family Physician*, 53(10), 1704-1711.

- ⁵² Alavanja, M. C., Hoppin, J. A., & Kamel, F. (2004). Health effects of chronic pesticide exposure: cancer and neurotoxicity. *Annu. Rev. Public Health*, 25, 155-197.
- ⁵³ Eskenazi, B., Marks, A. R., Bradman, A., Harley, K., Barr, D. B., Johnson, C., ... & Jewell, N. P. (2007). Organophosphate pesticide exposure and neurodevelopment in young Mexican-American children. *Environmental health perspectives*, 115(5), 792.
- ⁵⁴ Mendola, P., Messer, L. C., & Rappazzo, K. (2008). Science linking environmental contaminant exposures with fertility and reproductive health impacts in the adult female. *Fertility and sterility*, 89(2), e81-e94.
- ⁵⁵ Holtcamp, W. (2012). Obesogens: an environmental link to obesity. *Environmental health perspectives*, 120(2), a62.
- ⁵⁶ Finck-Haynes, Tiffany, Jason Davidson, Kendra Klein and Antonio Roman-Alcala. 2016. *Swarming the Aisles*. Friends of the Earth. <https://foe.org/resources/swarming-the-aisles-rating-top-retailers-on-bee-friendly-and-organic-food/>
- ⁵⁷ New York Times Editorial Board. 2017. Insect Armadeggon. *New York Times*. October 29. <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/10/29/opinion/insect-armadeggon-ecosystem-.html>
- ⁵⁸ Lo, C. C. (2010). Effect of pesticides on soil microbial community. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part B*, 45(5), 348-359.
- ⁵⁹ Horrigan, L., Lawrence, R. S., & Walker, P. (2002). How sustainable agriculture can address the environmental and human health harms of industrial agriculture. *Environmental health perspectives*, 110(5), 445.
- ⁶⁰ UN Food and Agriculture Organization. 2015. Natural Capital Impacts in Agriculture: Supporting Better Decision Making. UN FAO: Rome, Italy. June. http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/nr/sustainability_pathways/docs/Final_Natural_Capital_Impacts_in_Agriculture_-_Supporting_Better_Business_Descision-Making_v5.0.pdf
- ⁶¹ Lane, Earl. 2012. Linda S. Birnbaum: Researchers find new risks in low-dose exposures. AAAS. <https://www.aaas.org/news/linda-s-birnbaum-researchers-find-new-risks-low-dose-chemical-exposure>
- ⁶² Beyond Pesticides. Pesticides that Disrupt Endocrine System Still Unregulated by EPA. Online. <https://www.beyondpesticides.org/assets/media/documents/gateway/health%20effects/endocrine%20cited.pdf>
- ⁶³ Environmental Working Group. 2005. Body Burden: The pollution in newborns. <https://www.ewg.org/research/body-burden-pollution-newborns#.WpSaTIMbPeZ>
- ⁶⁴ *Ibid*
- ⁶⁵ Roberts, J.R. and Karr, C.J., 2012. Pesticide exposure in children. *Pediatrics*, pp.peds-2012.
- ⁶⁶ The Endocrine Disruption Exchange. EDC Factsheet. Online. <https://endocrinedisruption.org/interactive-tools/endocrine-basics>
- ⁶⁷ Gillam, Carey. 2018. Chemicals on our Food: When “safe” may not really be safe. *Environmental Health News*. November 27. Online. <https://www.ehn.org/when-safe-may-not-really-be-safe-2621578745.html>
- ⁶⁸ Pesticide Action Network. What’s On My Food Database. Online. <http://www.whatsonmyfood.org/>

⁶⁹ U.S. Centers for Disease Control. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Online. <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm>

⁷⁰ Kepner, John. 2004. Synergy: The Big Unknowns of Pesticide Exposure. *Pesticides and You*. Vol. 23(4). <https://www.beyondpesticides.org/assets/media/documents/infoservices/pesticidesandyou/Winter%2003-04/Synergy.pdf>

⁷¹ Rizati, V, et al (2016)“Effects of pesticide mixtures in human and animal models: An update of the recent literature. *Chemico-biological interactions*, ISSN: 1872-7786, Vol: 254, Page: 231-46

⁷² Payne-Sturges, D., Cohen, J., Castorina, R., Axelrad, D. A., & Woodruff, T. J. (2009). Evaluating cumulative organophosphorus pesticide body burden of children: a national case study. *Environmental science & technology*, 43(20), 7924-7930.

⁷³ Pesticide Action Network. Pesticides 101. Online. 17,000 pesticide products allowed in chemical farming

⁷⁴ US Department of Agriculture. 2016. USDA Pesticide Data Program Annual Summary - 2015. <https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/2015PDPAnnualSummary.pdf>

⁷⁵ U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2017. Recent Trends in GE Adoption. <https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/adoption-of-genetically-engineered-crops-in-the-us/recent-trends-in-ge-adoption.aspx>

⁷⁶ Main, Douglas. 2016. Glyphosate now the most-used agricultural chemical ever. *Newsweek*. February 2. <http://www.newsweek.com/glyphosate-now-most-used-agricultural-chemical-ever-422419>

⁷⁷ Benbrook, C.M., 2016. Trends in glyphosate herbicide use in the United States and globally. *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 28(1), p.3.

⁷⁸ World Health Organization. 2015. IARC Monographs Volume 112: Evaluation of five organophosphate insecticides and herbicides. March 20. <https://www.iarc.fr/en/media-centre/iarcnews/pdf/MonographVolume112.pdf>

⁷⁹ California Office of Environment and Health Hazard Assessment. Proposition 65 list. Online. <https://oehha.ca.gov/proposition-65/proposition-65-list>

⁸⁰ University of Nebraska-Lincoln Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Weed and Insect Resistance a Growing Problem. Online. <https://cropwatch.unl.edu/weed-and-insect-resistance-growing-problem>

⁸¹ *Ibid.*

⁸² Iowa State University Extension and Outreach. 2014. *Farmer Perspectives on Pesticide Resistance*. December. Online. https://www.eenews.net/assets/2015/08/26/document_gw_01.pdf

⁸³ KQED. Evolution. Pesticide Library. Online. http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/evolution/library/10/1/_l_101_02.html

⁸⁴ Mortensen, D. A., Egan, J. F., Maxwell, B. D., Ryan, M. R., & Smith, R. G. (2012). Navigating a critical juncture for sustainable weed management. *BioScience*, 62(1), 75-84.

⁸⁵ Louisiana Economic Development 2017. Monsanto breaks ground on \$975 million Louisiana expansion. February 3. [https://www.opportunitylouisiana.com/led-news/news-releases/news/2017/02/03/monsanto-breaks-ground-on-\\$975-million-louisiana-expansion](https://www.opportunitylouisiana.com/led-news/news-releases/news/2017/02/03/monsanto-breaks-ground-on-$975-million-louisiana-expansion)

⁸⁶ Beyond Pesticides. Gateway on Pesticides Hazards and Safe Pest Management. Dicamba. Online. <https://www.beyondpesticides.org/resources/pesticide-gateway?pesticideid=25>

⁸⁷ Smith, Steve. 2017. Dicamba Herbicide Drift: A disaster in 2017, will be much worse in 2018. *Independent Science News*. <https://www.independentsciencenews.org/environment/dicamba-herbicide-drift-a-disaster-in-2017-will-be-much-worse-in-2018/>

⁸⁸ Pretty, J. and Bharucha, Z.P., 2015. Integrated pest management for sustainable intensification of agriculture in Asia and Africa. *Insects*, 6(1), pp.152-182.

⁸⁹ Lechenet, M., Dessaint, F., Py, G., Makowski, D. and Munier-Jolain, N., 2017. Reducing pesticide use while preserving crop productivity and profitability on arable farms. *Nature Plants*, 3(3), p.17008.